SINDO1 STUDY OF THE PHOTOCHEMICAL SYNTHESIS OF AZACYCLOBUTADIENE FROM 1,4-OXAZIN-2-ONE

FRANK NEUMANN AND KARL JUG

Theoretische Chemie, Universität, Hannover, Am Kleinen Felde 30, D-30167 Hannover, Germany

The mechanism of the photochemical decomposition of 1,4-oxazin-2-one to azacyclobutadiene and carbon dioxide was investigated by the semi-empirical MO method SINDO1. The relevant singlet and low-lying triplet potential energy hypersurfaces were studied and intermediates and transition structures were optimized with limited configuration interaction. The first step transforms 1,4-oxazin-2-one into a bicyclic lactone via internal cyclization. Then a stepwise bond breaking mechanism on the first excited triplet state yields azacyclobutadiene and CO_2 , whereas a reaction on the first excited singlet state is not common. It is shown that a simultaneous bond-breaking mechanism on the first excited triplet is possible.

INTRODUCTION

Azacyclobutadienes were initially stablized by condensation with aromatic systems¹ or by donor groups.² Maier and Schäfer^{3,4} have photochemically prepared unsubstituted azacyclobutadiene from 1,3-oxazin-6ones, called azapyrones in a matrix. Irradiation with light of wavelength 288 nm (4.3 eV) transformed 1,3oxazin-6-one in an argon matrix at 8 K into the bicyclic bicyclo [2.2.0]-3-aza-5-oxahex2-en-6-one, azalactone called azadewarpyrone (Figure 1). Prolonged irradiation at the same wavelength led to photoelimination of CO₂. Azacyclobutadiene could not be detected by IR spectroscopy. Only the fragmentation products HCN and acetylene were found in the matrix. Experiments with substituted 1,3-oxazin-6-ones resulted in differently substituted acetylenes and nitriles. From these facts, it was concluded that azacyclobutadiene occurs temporarily in the process. No mechanism was proposed. Semi-empirical calculations showed that during the photofragmentation of CO_2 (Figure 1) the C(1)-C(2) bond breaks first, then the C(5)-O(6)bond.⁵ A simultaneous mechanism could be excluded. Similar results with respect to the mechanism were



Figure 1. Experimental reaction scheme for 1,3-oxazin-6-one

CCC 0894-3230/94/090503-08 © 1994 by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. obtained in the quantum chemical investigation of the photoreaction of α -pyrone.⁵

Analogous to 1,3-oxazin-6-one, a cyclization can convert 1,4-oxazin-2-one into a bicyclo [2.2.0]-5-aza-2oxahex-5-en-3-one, called i-azadewarpyron in the following. Similarly to azadewarpyrone, i-azadewarpyrone represents a good photoprecursor of azacyclobutadiene. Figure 2 illustrates these reactions. Although the preparation of 1,4-oxazin-2-one has not yet been achieved, an investigation of the photoreaction is interesting, since the reaction mechanism can be compared with those of 1,3-oxazine-6-one and of α -pyrone. All three substances are isoelectronic and therefore should show similar mechanisms for the photoreaction. In 1,4-oxazin-2-one, the C(1)-C(2) bond is polarized by the vicinal nitrogen N(3), which leads to a further weakening of this bond. Therefore, 1,4-oxazin-2-one should be a better photoprecursor than 1,3-oxazin-6one for the formation of azacyclobutadiene.

METHODS OF CALCULATION

The calculations were performed with the semiempirical MO method SINDO1 with an extension to second- and third-row elements.⁶ This method had

> Received 8 March 1994 Revised 27 April 1994

already been successfully applied to the photoisomerization of three- and five-membered rings, e.g. substituted diazirines,⁷ cyclopentanone,⁸ 2methylfuran,⁹ 2- and 3-cyanopyrrole,¹⁰ thiophene,¹¹ tetrahydrothiophene¹² and tetramethylene sulphoxide.¹³

In the following, we denote the ground state by R_0 and the vertically excited singlet states by R_1 , R_2 , etc. Intermediates on the lowest singlet surface are denoted by I_1 and I'_1 and intermediates on the lowest triplet surface by ${}^{3}I_{1}$. Minima including intermediates are characterized by exclusively positive roots of the force constant matrix, whereas transition structures have one negative root. Ground and excited equilibrium structures were optimized by a Newton-Raphson procedure. Bond lengths were optimized within 1% and bond angles and dihedral angles within 1°. Excited-state structures were optimized on their respective configuration interaction (CI) surfaces. Further details of the optimization procedure can be found in the furan treatment.⁹ The size of configuration interaction was adjusted to guarantee an unambiguous qualitative explanation of the mechanism. Thus optimized points and vertical excitation energies were calculated with a 278×278 CI, including single excitations from the eight highest OMOs to all 13 UMOs plus double excitations from HOMO/HOMO to UMO1/UMO2 (UMO1 stands for the three lowest UMOs and UMO₂ for all 13 UMOs). Valences were calculated with the valence criterion of Gopinathan and Jug. 14,15

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Cyclization of 1,4-oxazin-2-one

From the calculated oscillator strengths in Table 1 it can be seen that the cyclization of 1,4-oxazin-2-one can occur via an excitation to the S₂ or S₃ state. The calculated bond valences (Table 2) of the excited states R₁, R₂ and R₃ and the intermediates I₁, I₂ I₃ and ³I₁ show a significant decrease of valence V_{16} similarly to 1,3oxazin-6-one. The breaking of the C(1)—O(6) bond

Table 1. Oscillator strengths and vertical excitation energies of 1,4-oxazin-6-one

Transition	Energy (eV)	Oscillator strength
$R_0 \rightarrow R_1$	3.95	1.0×10^{-3}
$R_0 \rightarrow R_2$	4.73	1.8×10^{-2}
$R_0 \rightarrow R_3$	4.83	$2 \cdot 2 \times 10^{-2}$
$R_0 \rightarrow R_4$	5.02	5.0×10^{-3}
$R_0 \rightarrow R_5$	5.36	1.7×10^{-2}

leads to a ring opening. Then the generated ω azaketenal (Figure 2) can then react back to 1,4-oxazin-2-one in a thermally allowed fashion. In this way there is an analogy to 1,3-oxazin-6-one^{3,4} (Figure 1) and α pyrone.^{16,17} This pathway was not studied since it does not lead to azacyclobutadiene. The geometries of the stationary points involved in the cyclization are given in Table 3.

The reaction scheme of the cyclization is presented in Figure 3 and the potential energy curves in Figure 4. After excitation to the S_2 or S_3 state the transition to S_1 occurs via IC and subsequently relaxation to I_1 . In the S₁ state cyclization to i-azadewarpyrone can take place. Starting from I_1 the bond length r_{25} is shortened stepwise in the calculation under optimization of all other coordinates. At $r_{25} = 2 \cdot 1$ Å, IC to the ground state occurs in the region of an avoided crossing. The barrier on the S_1 surface is 1.03 eV. The cyclization can therefore take place only if the molecule can use its vibrational energy from the vertical excitation to overcome the barrier. The shape of the S_1 potential curve is similar to those for the cyclization of α -pyrone¹⁸ and 1,3-oxazin-6-one.¹⁹ Therefore, it can be concluded that the cyclization should be successful under similar experimental conditions, i.e. in an argon matrix under prolonged irradiation. A reaction in the T_1 state is not very likely. Although the barrier of 1.24 eV is only slightly higher (Figure 5) than that on the S_1 surface, the vibrational energy of the molecule would be dissipated to the environment in a matrix at low temperatures after ISC

State	V ₁₂	V_{16}^{a}	V17	V ₂₃	V25	V ₃₄	V45	V 56
R_0, C_s	0.964	0.966	1.854	1.853	0.075	1.068	1.777	1.055
R_1, C_s	0.918	0.856	1.605	1.543	0.033	0.971	1.787	1.036
R_2, C_s	0.900	0.827	1.742	1.767	0.033	1 · 101	1.332	1.053
R_3, C_s	0.842	0.764	1.779	1.615	0.007	1.123	1.378	1.065
I ₁	0.936	0.884	1.587	1 · 498	0.035	0.984	1 · 794	1.025
I ₂	0.830	0.801	1.567	1.649	0.057	1.034	1.689	1.094
I ₃	0.992	0.711	1.786	1.320	0.025	1.113	1.480	1 · 190
${}^{3}I_{1}$	1.016	0.861	1.859	1.108	0.026	1 · 486	1.220	1.085
\mathbf{P}_0	0.895	0.949	1.900	0.956	0.975	1.944	0.963	0.965

Table 2. Valences of reactant, intermediates and product of the cyclization of 1,4-oxazin-2-one

^a relevant decreased values in italics

State	<i>r</i> ₁₂	<i>r</i> ₁₆	<i>r</i> ₁₇	<i>r</i> ₂₃	<i>r</i> ₂₅	<i>r</i> ₃₄	r 45	r ₅₆
R_0, C_s	1.543	1.408	1.223	1.277	2.748	1.428	1.367	1.379
I ₁	1.522	1.398	1.240	1.299	2.728	1.423	1.362	1 · 389
I ₂	1.575	1.423	1.219	1.291	2.735	1.388	1.409	1.329
I ₃	1.520	1.502	1.210	1.335	2.752	1.364	1.431	1.277
³ I ₁	1 · 527	1.422	1.210	$1 \cdot 408$	2.700	1.339	1.431	1.341
\mathbf{P}_0	1.585	1.409	1.212	1.501	1.585	1.270	1 · 559	1 · 444
	α ₁₂₃	α234	α345	α456	α561	α ₂₁₇		
R_0, C_s	124.0	118.0	121.4	122 • 4	119.8	128.7		
I ₁	122.7	118.6	120.1	124 - 3	117.4	122.1		
I ₂	122.1	119.3	120.4	123-4	120.1	121.0		
I ₃	124.0	118.4	121.7	122.6	$121 \cdot 5$	131.3		
³ I ₁	125.2	111.2	126.3	124 • 3	116.3	125.9		
\mathbf{P}_0	116.9	91.7	100 - 1	117.3	92.3	139.0		
	φ1234	ф2345	Ø2156	\$561 7				
R_0, C_s	0.0	0.0	180.0	180.0				
1	~0.1	-0.3	179.4	-179.9				
I ₂	- 7.5	-4.4	167.7	-166 • 1				
I3	0.9	0.1	179.3	-176.9				
³ I ₁	0.0	$-1 \cdot 1$	179.6	-179.8				
\mathbf{P}_{0}	79.5	0.0	180.0	180.0				

Table 3. Bond lengths r (Å), angles α (°) and dihedral angles ϕ (°) of reactant, intermediates and product of the cyclization of 1,4-oxazin-2-one



Figure 3. Reaction scheme for the cyclization of 1,4-oxazin-2-one in the S_1 state



Figure 4. Potential curve for the cyclization of 1,4-oxazin-2-one in the S1 state, with optimized points of geometry in circles



Figure 5. Reaction scheme for the cyclization of 1,4-oxazin-2one in the T_1 state

and a transition of the barrier would be impossible. This should not hold for a fast reaction in the S_1 state, since the time-scale for IC is shorter than that for ISC.

Stepwise bond breaking

The photoelimination of CO₂ can start by excitation to the S₃, S₄ or S₅ state. The calculated oscillator strengths and excitation energies are given in Table 4. The geometries of the stationary points involved in the reaction are presented in Table 5. Of the intermediates I₁, I₂ and I₃ in the Franck–Condon zone, only I₃ shows a significant decrease of valence V_{12} (Table 6). V_{12} is equally large for I₁ as for R₀. The breaking of the C(1)–C(2) bond should therefore show a relatively high barrier. The valence V_{56} also shows no significant decrease. Therefore, breaking of the C(5)–O(6) bond is not to be expected. Figure 6 shows the reaction scheme of the

Table 4. Oscillator strengths and vertical excitation energies of i-azadewarpyrone

Transition	Energy (eV)	Oscillator strength
$S_0 \rightarrow R_1$	4.37	$4 \cdot 0 \times 10^{-3}$
$R_0 \rightarrow R_2$	4.72	$2 \cdot 0 \times 10^{-3}$
$R_0 \rightarrow R_3$	5.43	8.0×10^{-3}
$R_0 \rightarrow R_4$	5.79	$2 \cdot 3 \times 10^{-2}$
$R_0 \rightarrow R_5$	6.07	$1 \cdot 0 \times 10^{-2}$

photofragmentation of i-azadewarpyrone starting in the S_1 state and Figure 7 the corresponding potential curves. The reaction coordinate is the distance r_{12} until I_1 , then the distance r_{56} . All coordinates except the reaction coordinate were optimized. The stationary points were fully optimized.

In order to break the C(1)—C(2) bond, a barrier of 0.71 eV must be overcome on the S₁ state surface. From $r_{12} = 2.5 \text{ Å}$ until I'₁ ($r_{12} = 2.998 \text{ Å}$), IC to the ground state can occur favoured by an avoided crossing. Here the C(5)—O(6) bond breaks without barrier. In the T₁ state there is no minimum in the Franck-Condon zone. Figure 8 shows the reaction scheme and Figure 9 the course of the fragmentation of

Table 5. Bond lengths r (Å), angles α (°) and dihedral angles ϕ (°) of reactant, intermediates and product of the photofragmentation of i-azadewarpyrone

State	<i>r</i> ₁₂	r ₁₆	<i>r</i> ₁₇	r ₂₃	r ₂₄	r ₂₅	<i>r</i> ₃₄	r 45	r ₅₆
 R_0	1.585	1 · 409	1.212	1 • 501	1.995	1.588	1.270	1.559	1 • 444
I.	1.589	1.403	1.206	1.459	2.295	1.594	1.349	1.553	1.435
I,	1.595	1.402	1.227	1.546	2.064	1.557	1.257	1.568	1 • 441
I.	1.604	1.470	1.201	1.489	2.050	1.612	1.278	1.544	1 · 391
Ĩ	2.998	1.251	1 · 176	1-400	1.918	1.539	1.293	1.537	1 • 519
³ Ií	2.872	1.355	1.183	1.391	1.852	1.531	1.290	1.547	1 · 428
P ₀		1.190	1 · 190	1.521	1.880	1.340	1.266	1 • 553	
	α ₁₂₃	Q234	Q345	α456	a561	α217			
Ro	116.9	91.7	100 · 1	117.3	92.3	139.0			
L	115.3	109.6	80.5	120.8	94.0	137.5			
Ь	116.3	94.3	96.7	116.2	93.0	132.6			
Ĩ.	118.5	95.3	97.3	118.9	95.7	142.8			
Ĥ	116.4	90.7	98.3	116.5	124.6	105.3			
³ Ií	65.0	87.3	100.5	117.6	115.9	158-9			
Po	_	84 · 3	98.3	_		_			
	\$ 1234	Φ2345	<i>ф</i> 2156	\$5617					
Ro	79.5	0.0	180.0	180.0					
I ₁	78.1	-5.0	177.0	175 · 1					
I ₂	80.4	-1.5	176.4	-163.6					
13	78.6	1.0	-179.4	178.1					
Ιí	52.6	-0.3	165 • 1	-9.5					
³ I (65 · 1	-9.5	176.5	-164.5					
Po		0.0	_	—					

			,	•	•	•			
State	V ₁₂	V ₁₆	V ₁₇	V ₂₃	V ₂₄	V ₂₅	V ₃₄	V45	V 56
Ro	0.895	0.949	1.900	0.956	0.024	0.975	1.944	0.963	0.965
\mathbf{R}_1	0.782	0.844	1 · 449	0.943	0.025	0.962	1.924	0.962	0.964
R ₂	0.890	0.938	1 · 880	0.729	0.054	0.950	1.327	0·794	0.945
R ₃	0.609	0.764	1.764	0.843	0.028	0.933	1.782	0.901	0.905
\mathbf{I}_1	0.894	0.951	1.889	0.842	0.033	0.814	1.157	0.859	0.944
I ₂	0.893	0.953	1.858	0.700	0.046	0.956	1.332	0·791	0.941
I3	0.580	0.678	1 · 891	0.824	0.025	0.785	1.800	0.922	0.973
Ií	0.021	1.476	2.090	1.110	0.150	0.903	1.672	0.970	0.546
³ Lí	0.006	0.890	1.995	1.121	0.061	0.966	1.756	0.948	0.941
\mathbf{P}_{0}		1.872	1.872	0.921	0.055	1.919	1.915	0.947	

Table 6. Valences of reactant, intermediates and product of photofragmentation of i-azadewarpyrone

i-azadewarpyrone in the T_1 state. After excitation to an excited singlet state, e.g. the S_3 state, the transition to the T_1 state takes place via IC and ISC. Here the breaking of the C(1)—C(2) bond occurs without barrier. At ³I'₁ the molecule passes to the ground state via ISC. In the S_0 state the C(5)—O(6) bond can be broken by overcoming a barrier of 0.38 eV.

Calculations where the C(5)—O(6) bond is broken



Figure 6. Reaction scheme for the C(1)—C(2) bond cleavage in the S₁ state; reaction to azacyclobutadiene via I₁



Figure 8. Reaction scheme for the C(1)–C(2) bond cleavage in the T₁ state; reaction to azacyclobutadiene via ${}^{3}I_{1}$

first resulted in barriers of more than 3 eV in both the S_1 and T_1 states. Therefore, a primary breaking of the C(5)-O(6) bond can be excluded.

Simultaneous bond breaking

A simultaneous photoelimination of CO_2 is not very likely, because the valences V_{12} and V_{56} (Table 6) of the



Figure 7. Potential curve of C(1)—C(2) bond cleavage in the S₁ state; reaction to azacyclobutadiene via I₁, optimized points of geometry in circles



Figure 9. Potential curve for the C(1)—C(2) bond cleavage in the T_1 state; reaction to azacyclobutadiene via ${}^{3}I_1$, optimized points of geometry in circles



Figure 10. Reaction scheme for the simultaneous C(1)-C(2) and C(5)-O(6) bond cleavages in the S₁ state



Figure 11. Potential curves for the simultaneous C(1)—C(2) and C(5)—O(6) bond cleavages in the S₁ state; optimized points of geometry in circles

excited states deviate very little from those of the ground state. As can be seen from Figure 10, the barrier for a reaction in the S_1 state is $1 \cdot 32$ eV. Figure 11 shows the potential energy course of the reaction in the S_1 state. After excitation to the S3 state, subsequent IC to the S_1 state and relaxation to I_1 , the system overcomes the barrier at $r_{12} = 1.9$ Å and $r_{56} = 1.75$ Å. At $r_{12} = 2 \cdot 15$ Å and $r_{56} = 2 \cdot 6$ Å IC to the ground state in the region of an avoided crossing takes place. In the S₀ state the system relaxes to P_0 . The reaction coordinates are the distances r_{12} and r_{56} . Starting from R_0 a linear interpolation to the two separated molecules CO2 and azacyclobutadiene at distances $r_{12} = 3 \cdot 30$ Å and $r_{56} = 3 \cdot 15$ Å was performed. Subsequently these points were optimized except for the reaction coordinates r_{12} and r_{56} . The simultaneous photoelimination in the T_1 state shows a similarly high barrier (Figures 12 and 13). The reaction coordinate in Figure 12 is the same as that in Figure 10. Since both reactions show barriers of more than 1 eV, a simultaneous bond breaking is not



possible.

Figure 12. Reaction scheme for the simultaneous C(1)—C(2)and C(5)—O(6) bond cleavages in the T_1 state

CONCLUSION

The results indicate that i-azadewarpyrone yields azacyclobutadiene via a stepwise mechanism, whereas the simultaneous fragmentation in the S₁ and T₁ states can be excluded because of the high barriers. For the stepwise mechanism the reaction in the T₁ state is favoured, because there is no barrier with respect to C(1)-C(2)bond breaking. The subsequent small barrier of 0.38 eV on the ground-state surface should be overcome in a matrix even at temperatures below 100 K. A reaction in the S₁ state is unlikely because of the relatively high barrier of 0.71 eV. Compared with 1,3oxazin-6-one, ¹⁹ 1,4-oxazin-2-one, represents a better photoprecursor to azacyclobutadiene, because the breaking of the C(1)—C(2) bond takes place without barrier in this case. This should increase the quantum yield substantially, which makes a prolonged irradiation unnecessary. This should reduce or prevent secondary photofragmentation of azacyclobutadiene and allow a spectroscopic measurement of this compound.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The calculations were performed on the CYBER 180/995 and Siemens S400/40 computers at Universität Hannover. F. Neumann thanks the Konrad-Adenauer-Stiftung for a predoctoral fellowship.



Figure 13. Potential curves for the simultaneous C(1)-C(2) and C(5)-O(6) bond cleavages in the T_1 state; optimized points of geometry in circles

REFERENCES

- B. M. Adger, M. Keating, C. W. Rees and R. C. Storr, J. Chem. Soc., Chem Commun. 19 (1973); B. M. Adger, M. Keating, C. W. Rees and R. S. Storr, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1 41 (1975); B. M. Adger, M. Keating, C. W. Rees and R. S. Storr, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans 1 45 (1976); C. W. Rees, R. S. Storr and P. J. Whittle, Tetrahedron Lett. 4647 (1976).
- G. Seybold, U. Jerzak and R. Gompper, Angew. Chem. 85, 918 (1973); Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 12, 847 (1973).
- 3. G. Maier and U. Schäfer, Tetrahedron Lett. 1053 (1977).
- 4. G. Maier and U. Schäfer, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 798 (1980).
- 5. F. Neumann, PhD Thesis, University of Hannover (1993).
- D. N. Nanda and K. Jug, *Theor. Chim. Acta* 57, 95 (1980); K. Jug, R. Iffert and J. Schulz, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* 32, 265 (1987); J. Li, P. C. de Mello and K. Jug, *J. Comput. Chem.* 13, 85 (1992).
- 7. P. L. Müller-Remmers, P. C. Mishra and K. Jug, J. Chem. Chem. Soc. 106, 2538 (1984).

- P. L. Müller-Remmers and K. Jug, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 107, 7275 (1985).
- 9. S. Buss and K. Jug, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 109, 1044 (1987).
- 10. Behrens and K. Jug, J. Org. Chem. 55, 2255 (1990).
- 11. K. Jug and H.-P. Schluff, J. Org. Chem. 56, 129 (1991).
- 12. K. Jug and F. Neumann, in *Trends in Theoretical Chemistry: Linking Theory with Experiment*, edited by L. Montero and Y. G. Smeyers, pp. 99ff. Kluwer, Dordrecht (1992).
- K. Jug, F. Neumann and H.-P. Schluff, J. Org. Chem. 58, 6634 (1993).
- 14. M. S. Gopinathan and K. Jug, Theor. Chim. Acta, (Berlin) 63, 497 (1983).
- M. S. Gopinathan and K. Jug, *Theor. Chim. Acta (Berlin)* 63, 511 (1983).
- O. L. Chapman, C. L. McIntosh and J. Pacansky, J. Am. Chem. 95, 244 (1973).
- R. G. S. Pong, J. S. Shirk, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 95, 248 (1973).
- 18. F. Neumann and K. Jug, J. Org. Chem., in press.
- 19. F. Neumann and K. Jug, J. Org. Chem., in press.